Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Is it not a fact that at one time the question of putting up a railway line from Chamarajnagar to Sathyamangalam was included in the Draft Plan ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Yes.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Are Government aware that the Government of India held out an assurance that it would be taken up during the first Five-Year Plan period itself ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That is also true. That was under priority. It was included under that head in the First Five-Year Plan.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—May I know whether it is a fact that on account of influence from other parts, this question was dropped from the Plan ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—It is an unfair allegation.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—This qustion was already thought of as a matter of priority in the First Plan. Then what is the reason for its being not included even in the Second Plan ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is only the Central Government which can reply to this. How can the State Government reply to it ?

— —

9-30 A.M.

Seniority list in the State After States' Reorganisation.

Q.—746. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have published a seniority list, etc, of Government officers after the Reorganisation of the State ;

(*b*) the basis on which the said seniority list has been prepared ;

(*c*) whether representations have been received from officers of ex twhile Mysore region to the effect that the said list affects them adversely ;

(*d*) the action they propose to take in the matter ?

A.—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance) on behalf of [Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister)].—

(*a*) Seniority list of Gazetted Services in respect of certain Departments have been published. Others are under preparation.

(*b*) Equation of post is first determined with reference to the following principles :

(i) The nature and duties of a post ;

(ii) The responsibilities and powers exercised by the Officer holding a post ; the extent of territorial or other charge held or responsibilities discharged ;

(iii) The minimum qualifications, if any, prescribed for recruitment to the post ;

(iv) The salary of the post ;

NOTE :—In view of great variations in the pay scales in the different integrating areas, the salary of a post is not generally taken into account except where the other conditions are equal.

The Inter State Seniority Lists are then prepared on the basis of equations so determined, relative seniority as between two persons holding posts declared equivalent to each other, and drawn from different States being determined with reference to the following principles :—

(i) Length of continuous service, whether temporary, or permanent in the equated grade. (This excludes periods for which an appointment is held in a purely stop-gap or fortuitous arrangement) ;

(ii) Age of the person (other factors being equal, seniority is determined on the basis of age).

NOTE :—As far as possible, the Inter se Seniority of Officers drawn from the same State is not disturbed.

(*c*) Opportunity is given to Government servants from different areas to submit representations, if any, against the place assigned to them in the Inter State Seniority lists before

those lists are finalised. Certain Officers of the former Mysore State have also submitted their representations objecting to the place assigned to them in the Inter-State Seniority lists so far published.

(*d*) The representations are being examined.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—It has been stated that the age of the person was one of the criteria for making equations. Are Government aware that so far as age in the former State of Mysore is concerned, in respect of some departments, age was verified, but that in respect of the Officers from the integrating areas, there was no such verification. In view of this, are Government contemplating verification of age, date of birth, etc., in respect of the whole State?

*Sri T. MARIAPPA.—In case there is need, it will certainly be verified.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is it a fact, Sir, that the number of gazetted officers posted to the new State has no relation to the population in the integrating areas?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—That question does not arise.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is Government aware that the salaries in the integrating areas are more than those given to the old Mysore employees, as a result of which most of the staff of the old Mysore State are unable to find a higher place in the seniority lists?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, the answer makes it very clear that the salary is not the only consideration.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Is it a fact that a committee has been constituted to verify the records of these officers in order to fix up seniority?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Yes. A committee at the Secretariat level has been formed.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—How many members are there in the committee?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I think three or four. The exact figure is not in the records with me.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Are any non-officials, members of this committee?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—No, Sir.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Can Government think of a device by which the seniority lists of the different areas are kept separately and promotions are given according to such separate lists?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—That is a suggestion for action. Moreover, it will amount to 'confusion worst confounded'. After all, the new State has been formed. We must have one scale of pay and one list.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is it true that in the case of the Railways such separate lists were kept at the time of formation of zones?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I do not have the information, which the Hon'ble Member appears to have. I do not want to hazard a reply at this stage.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—In respect of the length of service, duties, etc., is it not true that representations have been received to the effect that if length of service in the cadre alone is taken into account, it might work as serious hardships to very many persons of the old Mysore State?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It will not result in hardships. We have evolved certain basic principles, which have been detailed here. Hon'ble Members will kindly see that these are the most equitable principles that could be evolved.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Are there any officers of the Bombay-Karnatak area represented in the committee?

Sri T. MARIAPPA. We do not want to make any distinction as between officers: that they are from Bombay-Karnatak or Mysore-Karnatak. They are officers of the New State of Mysore and would be treated as such.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Under the four principles evolved by Government, is Government aware that the personnel of the old Mysore State would be adversely affected?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Salary is not the only consideration. There need be no doubts on this score. I have made this very clear on the floor of the House on previous occasions. We do not want any single individual to be affected. We want to meet out

(SRI T. MARIAPPA)

justice to everyone. The representations made by them are considered with great care. We have given the right to each officer to advance his claims.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ನಾಯಕ್.—ಮದರಾಸು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಯೋಗ್ಯತೆ ಆನಂತರ ಸರ್ವಿಸು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಬರೀ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಯೋಗ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ತಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮತ್ತು ಆ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—While fixing seniorities, have Government ensured that the principles adumbrated here are being strictly adhered to?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We want all these principles to be adhered to. If there is any deviation, we will positively look into it and see that things are set right.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Have the Government kept in view the fact that the minimum qualifications required for the same post varies in different areas. For instance, the Tahsildars in Bellary District are not required to be graduates, whereas in Mysore, they are required to be graduates. Have the Government kept this point in view while equating posts?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We have certainly kept this factor in mind. Further, seniorities will be prepared only after the equation is made and not before.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—It has been stated that seniority lists would be prepared on the basis of equations. Who equates the posts?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The committee. After all suppose there is a certain post in Bombay. The grade of the post is known; so also the qualifications prescribed for the post. A similar post exists and equations are then made. All these things would be considered and equations made. For example, take the case of a Tahsildar or Amildar. The reponsibilities, functions and powers would be co-related. If they exercised equal powers and had similar responsibilities, they would certainly be equated.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Is Government aware that in the P.W.D., the sub-engineers and other engineers of Hyderabad and Bombay-Karnatak have been equated with the engineers in Mysore and that this has caused hardships to the old Mysore engineers?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I cannot reply off-hand to that question. A specific question may be put. This question is general and comprises officials of all the departments. If such instances are brought to the notice of Government, they will be examined. In the meantime the officers concerned can also prefer claims and they will be examined. We want to go a further step and refer such of the cases where there is dispute to an independent officer, who would be free from bias.

Sri L. S. VENKAJI RAO.—Government have said that representations from officers would be examined. Are they of an individualistic character or are they comprehensive?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The representations would be given the greatest attention. If they are frivolous they will be rejected. We would of course give a good lot of weight if there is any real grievance.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಕಾನ್ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ನಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಏನಾ ನಿಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಶ್ರೀ ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡರೇ ಹೆಚ್ಚೇ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ನಾನೇನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗ ತ್ತದೆ. ಯಾವ ಕಾನ್ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ನಿನ ಆರ್ಟಿಕಲ್ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಇದು ಕಾನ್ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ನಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯಟ್ಟಿನ ಲಿಸ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಲ್ಲವೆನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಇದು ತಪ್ಪಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾನ್ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಓದಿಕೊಂಡೇ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು. ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಓದಿ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ ನೀವೆ. ಇಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ನಾವು ಹಾಗೆ ಓದಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ, ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಕಾನ್ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ನಿನಂತೆ ತಪ್ಪಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ರಿಟ್ಟನ್ನು ಹಾಕುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುನಿಶಾಮಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ರೆಪ್ರೆಸೆಂಟೇಷನ್ನು ಬಂದರೆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಹಾಗೆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ತಾವೇಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಡ್ರಾಫ್ಟ್ ಫೈನಲ್ಲನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡ ರಿವ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಪಿನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ಲನ್ನು ರಿಪ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ರಿಪ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ? ಅದ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಮತ್ತು ತಾವುಗಳೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಜನರಲ್ ಪಿನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ಲುಗಳು. ಅದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಹೊತ್ತಿಗೇ ನಮ್ಮ ಶ್ರೀ ನರಸಿಂಹನ್ ಅವರೂ ಕೂಡ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಸಪ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ಹಾಕಲಿಲ್ಲ. (ನಗು)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಧಾರವಾಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೆಪುಟೀ ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರರೆಂಬ ಹುದ್ದೆ ಇತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾವ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವರನ್ನು ಈಕ್ವೇಟು ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಫಸ್ಟ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್ನಿನ ಕ್ಲಾರ್ಕ್‌ಗಳ ದರ್ಜೆಗೆ ತರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡ ತ್ತಾರೆಂಬುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಹೀಗೆ ಸಿಂಗರ್ ಇಶ್ಯೂನ್ನನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ? ಸಮುದ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೀನನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ತಾವು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಉತ್ತಮ.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—May I know if the principles evolved by this Government in fixing inter-State seniority lists, are similar to those adopted in other States?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Certainly, Sir. The principles are adumbrated in the States Reorganisation Act. In view of the complexities of the problem, the Government of India also have made a provision in the Reorganisation Act. In pursuance of the Act, we have evolved the principles.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ ದೇಸಾಯಿ.—ಈಗ ಪೋಸ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಕ್ವೇಟ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ನಮ್ಮ ಹೈದರಾಬಾದು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿ. ಓ. ಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದವರನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಎನ್.ಜಿ.ಓ.ಗಳಾಗಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಸೆಲ್ಫ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದವರನ್ನು ಈಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟು ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುವರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಇವೆಲ್ಲವೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಯಾವುದನ್ನೂ ಇಗ್ನೋರ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆಗಳ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿವೆ. ಹೈದರಾಬಾದಿನ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ವಿವರಗಳು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವು ಬಂದ ನಂತರ ನಾವೆಲ್ಲ ಈಕ್ವೇಟ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬ ಆಫೀಸರಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬ ಇಂಡಿವಿಜುಯಲ್ಲಿಗೂ ಅಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಂದ ಬಂದ ತಕರಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಸರಿಯಾದ ನ್ಯಾಯ ದೊರಕುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದೇ ಸರಕಾರದ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಾಗಿದೆ. ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಕೂಡದು ಎನ್ನುವುದೇ ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಭೆಯವರ ಉದ್ದೇಶವೂ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಇದೆ.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Is the Government aware that sometimes the number of posts to be filled in has affected the genuine claims of those who are entitled to higher posts?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I am not aware of such individual cases.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—May I know whether the Palace Officers have opted for regular service and granted seniority and, if so, whether the same treatment can be given to the officials from the integrated area?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—There is no such cadre as Palace Officers. The Palace servants are not Government servants at all. In case they have entered the Mysore Government service, they have done so on certain terms. I am not aware of such cases.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಮುಂಬಯಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದಲ್ಲಿಯ ಡೆಪುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ದರ್ಜೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ದಿನಕ್ಕೆ 12 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಭತ್ಯೆ ಇದೆ. ಅದರೆ ಅದೇ ದರ್ಜೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗೆ ಐದು ರೂಪಾಯಿ D. A. ಇಷ್ಟೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಇದು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ವಿಷಯ.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—May I know whether there is any other committee to examine the representations or the same committee is going to examine them?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The same committee.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Are the Government aware that in one of the neighbouring States the whole matter of seniority and representations is being examined by a committee consisting of representatives of the various Gazetted Officers' and Non-Gazetted Officers' Associations?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I am not aware of it. There seems to be some doubt entertained with regard to the personnel of the committee. Therefore, I want to give the names of the committee members. They are the Chief Secretary, Sri Puttarangaswamy, Sri Rebello and Sri Vasudeva Rao. It will be seen that there are the representatives from all the areas.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಅಪೀಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡಲಾಗುವುದೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುವಾಗ, ಆ ಕಮಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಗಡೆಯಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಇರುವ ಮೂಲಕ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುವ ಭಯ ಇದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಹೊರಗಡೆಯಿಂದ ಬಂದ ವರು ತಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳು ತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನರಕ ರದ ಉನ್ನತ ಮಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಕರಾರು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುವವರು ಕಮಿಟಿಯೋ ಅಥವಾ ಕ್ಯಾಬಿನೆಟ್ಟೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—Objections call for ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. Claims ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಇದನ್ನು ಯಾರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಕಮಿಟಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದು.

Sri T. TARE GOWDA.—Will the committee hold an examination to test their integrity and efficiency ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It does not enter into this question. Only these principles will enter into the question of preparation of the seniority list.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡುವಾಗ್ಗೆ ಕ್ವಾಲಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಇರುವ ಮೂಲಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಅತೃಪ್ತಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Dr. T. PARTHASARATHY.—Before the committee finishes the work of seniority list, I have come to understand that several officers are going to retire and will not be able to enjoy the benefits of the results arrived at by the committee. Is the Minister aware of this fact ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I am not aware of it. He may rest assured that posthumous recognition will certainly give them some benefit.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಂಸ್ಥಾನದಾದಂತವಾಗಿ ನೌಕರರ ವಯಸ್ಸಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ತಾರೀಖುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—If the officers are aggrieved by the decision of the committee, is there a provision for appeal to some authority ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The Cabinet will certainly consider all such cases.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question hour is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Release of Forest Lands for Cultivation in Kanakapura Taluk.

Q—1138. Sri S. KARIAPPA (Virupakshipura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the Minister for Forests undertook a tour in Kanakapura taluk;

(*b*) whether this tour was undertaken on the request of somebody;

(*c*) the places he visited during the tour;

(*d*) whether it is a fact he was placed to order cultivation of forest lands in the taluk;

(*e*) whether they will enquire about the motives of the persons who invited him to undertake this tour, if the tour was on such request ?

A.—Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Maralawadi, Bantanal, Thurubeedi, Kadasivanahalli, Bilidale, Bannimukkudlu Singadidoddihalla, Mariyappanadoddi, Hosadurga, Kodihalli, Kanakapura.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) Does not arise.

MYSORE LOTTERIES AND PRIZE COMPETITIONS CONTROL AND TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1957.

Motion to consider (continued)

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ (ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈಗ ತಂದಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ . . . .

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Gubbi).—On a point of order, Sir. Article 177 of the Constitution says :

"Every Minister and the Advocate-General for a State shall have the right to speak in, and otherwise to take part in the